

**Luksis, John**

---

**From:** Rosen, Linda  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 28, 2020 1:08 PM  
**To:** Luksis, John  
**Subject:** FW: Sappi Stack Implosion - update

---

**From:** Rosen, Linda  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 12, 2017 1:44 PM  
**To:** Kajiya-Mills, Karen (DEQ) <KAJIYA-MILLSK@michigan.gov>; Bishop, Everett <Bishop.Everett@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Frank, Nathan (frank.nathan@epa.gov) <frank.nathan@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Sappi Stack Implosion - update

Is the contractor submitting an updated proposal for how to deal with the stacks and are that at all considering other options than imploding?

I have discussed this situation with our management. It seems that the order stating that the stacks are in danger of imminent collapse is not based on anything really substantive and could be challenged.

Region 5 does not support the implosion going through.

---

**From:** Kajiya-Mills, Karen (DEQ) [<mailto:KAJIYA-MILLSK@michigan.gov>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 11, 2017 6:07 AM  
**To:** Bishop, Everett <Bishop.Everett@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Rosen, Linda <[rosen.linda@epa.gov](mailto:rosen.linda@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Sappi Stack Implosion - update

Please note: East stack ordered demolition has been postponed to Monday, July 17, 2017.

I relayed #3 to the clean-up people (RRD) on the project. There is a belief that the site soil is contaminated with ACM. The contractors are claiming over 50% of the RACM has fallen off the east stack. RRD will review the plan.

---

**From:** Bishop, Everett [<mailto:Bishop.Everett@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 10, 2017 1:11 PM  
**To:** Kajiya-Mills, Karen (DEQ); Rosen, Linda  
**Cc:** Dolehanty, Mary Ann (DEQ); Dechy, Craig (DEQ); Brown, Jeremiah (DEQ); Fiedler, Lynn (DEQ)  
**Subject:** RE: Sappi Stack Implosion - update

Karen –

Some quick thoughts.

1. I agree with Ms. Pallone's conditions in her email. Push the "visible emissions" issue. If the state sees visible emissions, it is a violation of the asbestos NESHAP work practice standards with potential enforcement consequences.
2. I am not a great fan on the reliability of the air monitoring stations given the dust to arise from the demolition. Appendix B, page 1 of 2 at the bottom paragraph. Only selected workers will have personal air monitoring equipment. Anyone within the demolition zone should be wearing a personal air monitoring. Given the air monitoring stations are likely to be contain so much dust, the cassettes are not likely to provide accurate

readings. The personal monitoring air samplers will be a better indication of the air quality. In addition, once folks go into the debris field, they should be accredited, supervisor and worker.

3. Appendix C, page 2 of 2 at the last paragraph. The contractor is using the 1% as the means of determining whether soil needs to be excavated. I would push back. Page 1 of 2 indicates the contractor is doing background sampling prior to demolition. The standard should be background prior to the demolition operation.

Everett Bishop  
US EPA  
Office of Compliance  
(202) 564-7032  
[bishop.everett@epa.gov](mailto:bishop.everett@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Kajiya-Mills, Karen (DEQ) [<mailto:KAJIYA-MILLISK@michigan.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 10, 2017 11:38 AM  
**To:** Bishop, Everett <[Bishop.Everett@epa.gov](mailto:Bishop.Everett@epa.gov)>; Rosen, Linda <[rosen.linda@epa.gov](mailto:rosen.linda@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Dolehanty, Mary Ann (DEQ) <[DOLEHANTYM@michigan.gov](mailto:DOLEHANTYM@michigan.gov)>; Dechy, Craig (DEQ) <[DechyC@michigan.gov](mailto:DechyC@michigan.gov)>; Brown, Jeremiah (DEQ) <[BROWNJ9@michigan.gov](mailto:BROWNJ9@michigan.gov)>; Fiedler, Lynn (DEQ) <[FIEDLERL@michigan.gov](mailto:FIEDLERL@michigan.gov)>  
**Subject:** Sappi Stack Implosion - update

Everett and Linda, per your request we are forwarding the latest submissions and updates on the plans to implode the east stack at the former Sappi Paper Mill. On Friday we reiterated our concerns to the developers and contractors about the plans but they indicated the intent to go forward with the ordered demolition (see attached revised document) on July 12, 2017. We were told by the demolition contractor they had to "cut" the stack today to meet the requirements of the city ordered demolition. We expect to see another revised work plan later today.

Karen